Performance Related Specifications for Concrete

101st Annual Transportation Highway Engineering Conference

24 Feb 15





Specifications have different risk profiles



v.ara.com

Construction specifications have evolved



2000

PRS



Use Specifications







Pavement Construction, Sampling, and Testing



Incentive and Disincentive Pay

Incorporate Pay Tables Into Specifications & Project Letting

PRS applied to larger paving projects

Project would have at least 10 sublots

Will be evaluated and determined by Tollway

Pay factors will be different by corridor





Pavement type selection report is the PRS basis

Traffic

Design

Reliability & Performance Criteria

Support conditions

M & R strategies

Costs & other miscellaneous data



APPLIED RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC.

Pavement Design and Pavement Type Selection for I-90 (Jane Addams Memorial Highway) from I-39 to the Kennedy Expressway

Prepared By:

William R. Vavrik, Ph.D., P.E. Michael J. Harrell, P.E. Thomas P. Wilson, P.E. Lynn D. Evans

Applied Research Associates, Inc. Transportation Infrastructure Division 100 Trade Centre Dr., Ste. 200 Champaign, IL 61820 (217) 356-4500 www.ara.com

October 1, 2012



Pavement Life Cycle Cost Model

Design Life Cycle Cost





As-Built Life Cycle Cost







First define Acceptance Quality Characteristics

Measureable

• More rapid the better

Correlate with performance

Prediction models

Are under contractor's control

Can be varied on the project



Acceptance Quality Characteristics (AQCs)

Five AQCs

- Compressive strength
- Air
- Thickness
- Smoothness
- Dowel Alignment

Each has

- Target
- Rejectable level
- Maximum level

All AQC tests MUST be tested with random sampling



Levels of Pavement Quality

Target Quality Level (TQL)

- At target 100% pay
- Near target pay adjustment (incentive/disincentive)

Rejectable Quality Level (RQL)

Corrective measures required

Maximum Quality Level (MQL) No further incentive



ı.ara.com

Examples of Important Definitions



w.ara.com

Examples of Important Definitions





Lots and Sublots

Lot: All mainline concrete

Sublot: Division of a lot for testing and sampling

- One lane wide and \sim 1,000 ft. long (Generally 700 1,300 ft.)
- Provisions for pavement blockout
 - Access areas, bridge approach, ramp transition, etc.

Sublot limits marked on plans (by lane)

Payment is made on lot basis

Rejection is made on sublot basis



Random sampling is a key requirement

Every sublot has equal weight

Random number generator to determine sample location

Random locations determined before each paving day



Non-conforming Materials - revised

If RQL not met, contractor to develop Corrective Action Plan

No incentive/disincentive for a sublot with nonconforming materials.

Accept or reject concrete on a sublot basis.



28-Day Compressive Strength

Test with cylinders (Illinois Modified AASHTO T22, T23)

- Process described in IDOT Article 1020.09 Strength Tests
- 6"x12" cylinders only

Two cylinders per sublot

Level	Mean (psi)	Std. Dev. (psi)
Target	5,500	500
Rejectable	4,000	-
Maximum	6,500	-



Strength pay factor curve



Air Content

Test with pressure meter according to IDOT Article 1020.08 Air Content

Computed from average of four tests per sublot

• Same samples used for strength cylinders + 3 others

Level	Mean Content (%)	Standard Deviation (%)
Target	6.5	0.5
Rejectable	5.0	-
Maximum	9.0	-



Air content pay factor curve



Slab Thickness

Test with MIT-Scan T2 meter as described by user manual

Random pre-determined locations

Computed from average of four measurements per sublot

Level	Mean (in.)	Std. Dev. (in)
Target	Plan thickness	0.25
Rejectable	Plan thickness - 0.5	-
Maximum	Plan thickness + 1.0	-



Slab thickness pay factor curve



Smoothness (IRI)

Test in accordance with ASTM E950

Class I inertial profiler

Test and report each wheel path

Computed from average of wheel paths

Level	Mean (in./mile)	Std. Dev. (in./mile)
Target	60.0	10.0
Rejectable	80.0	-
Maximum	50.0	-



Smoothness pay factor curve



Effective Dowel Diameter (EDD)

Test with MIT-Scan 2

- **Calculate EDD as described in NCHRP Report 637**
- Averages of five consecutive joints
- **Rejection on individual alignment criteria**
- **Process control separate of PRS**

Level	Mean (in.)	Std. Dev. (in.)
Target	1.50	N/A



Effective dowel diameter pay factor curve



PRS Example Project

3 random Lots selected

Tested For

- 28 Day Compressive Strength
- Slab Thickness
- Air Content
- Smoothness
- Effective Dowel Diameter

Individual and Composite Pay Factors (PF) calculated





28-day Compressive Strength - Proposed





Air content example





Slab thickness example





Smoothness example





Effective dowel diameter example





Lot Composite Pay Factors

$$PF_{lot} = \left(\frac{PF_{str}}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{PF_{air}}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{PF_{thk}}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{PF_{smth}}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{PF_{dowel}}{100}\right) 100$$

Maximum Composite PF: 105%

Minimum Composite PF: 85%*

*Provided AQCs meet the RQL standards



PRS Evaluation for 2013 projects

Using Example PRS

- Maximum pay 105.3%
- Minimum pay 93.3%
- Average pay 99%

We are achieving this quality level with current practice!





Spreadsheet to track PRS data

Will calculate pay factors even with partial data

This draft spreadsheet was develope	ed by Applie	ed Resear	ch Associa	ates, Inc. fo	r the Illinois Tollway.							
t is used for computing the pay adju	ustment acc	cording to	the perfo	rmance-rel	ated specifications for	rigid paver	nents as sp	ecified in:				
PERFORMANCE-RELATED PORTLAND	CEMENT CO	ONCRETE	PAVEMEN	T, JOINTED	– 13 INCH (Tollway) Effe	ctive: Sep	tember 1,	2014				
Please go to tabs Strength PF, Thic	kness PF, I	Effective	Dowel Dia	meter PF, /	Air Content PF, Smooth	ness PF ai	nd enter inf	ormation	as request	ed.		
Ince information is entered on those	se tabs, ent	ter bid pri	ce and con	forming ar	ea below for total pay a	djustment					 	
O NOT ENTER anything else or char	nge anythin	g else on	this sheet.									
Strength Pay Factor	na											
Thickness Pay Factor:	na											
Dowel Diameter Pay Factor:	na											
Air Content Pay Factor:	na											
Smoothness Pay Factor:	na											
alculated Composite Pay Factor:	na											
Adjusted Composite Pay Factor:	na											
					Enter Bid Price and Co	nforming A	rea below:					
			BID PRICE	(Ş/sq. yd.):								
		CONFOR	RMING AR	EA (sa. vd.)								
	Total Pa	av Adi	ustme	nt (\$).	na							
	rotarre	սյոսյ	ustine		IIa							



Performance-Related Specifications (PRS) benefit Tollway, consultants, and contractors

Pavement design basis for construction performance

Incentives and disincentives based on LCCA

Contractors can innovative be competitive

No long-term monitoring and management

Testing focuses on key characteristics
Measureable
Related to performance



Thank You

ARA

William R. Vavrik, Ph.D., P.E. Vice President & Principal Engineer Applied Research Associates, Inc. 100 Trade Centre Dr., Ste 200. Champaign, IL 61820 (217) 356-4500 wvavrik@ara.com